

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/749,917

Applicant(s)

CHANG ET AL

Examiner

Nikolas J. Uhlir

Art Unit

1773

All Participants:(1) Nikolas J. Uhlir.(2) Edward Brown.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 May 2004**Time:** afternoon**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***all made***Claims discussed:***all pending***Prior art documents discussed:***ding et al.***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicants representative to propose an examiner amendment. Specifically, the removal of "alumina" from the amrkush group of materials listed in the instant claims was proposed. This amendment was proposed to prevent Ding et al. from being applied as a 102 reference against the applicant (the examiner would argue that the plasma sprayed aluminum layer of ding would immediately oxidize to alumina, thus reading on applicant's independent claims). The applicant's representative agreed to the proposed change. .